

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Addease COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS PO Box 1430 Alexandra, Virginia 22313-1450 www.webjo.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/762,388	01/22/2004	James L. Madara	7210.03	4854
Scott D. Rothe	7590 02/04/200 enberger	EXAMINER		
DORSEY & WHITNEY LLP Suite 1500 50 South Sixth Street			FAY, ZOHREH A	
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
Minneapolis, MN 55402-1498			1612	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			02/04/2009	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Application No. Applicant(s) 10/762 388 MADARA ET AL. Office Action Summary Examiner Art Unit ZOHREH A. FAY 1612 -- The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address --Period for Reply A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS. WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). Status 1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 19 December 2008. 2a) This action is FINAL. 2b) This action is non-final. 3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213. Disposition of Claims 4) Claim(s) 15-27 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration. 5) Claim(s) _____ is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) 15-27 is/are rejected. 7) Claim(s) _____ is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) _____ are subject to restriction and/or election requirement. Application Papers 9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are; a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abevance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152. Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119

	···	
Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) Interview Summary (PTO-413)	
Notice of References Cited (PTO-992) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SZ/CO)	Paper No(s)/Mail Date. 5) Notice of Informal Patent Application.	
Paper No(s)/Mail Date	6) Other:	

12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).

2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. _____.
3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage

Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.

application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).

* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.

a) All b) Some * c) None of:

Application/Control Number: 10/762,388

Art Unit: 1612

A request for continued examination under 37 CFR 1.114, including the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(e), was filed in this application after final rejection. Since this application is eligible for continued examination under 37 CFR 1.114, and the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(e) has been timely paid, the finality of the previous Office action has been withdrawn pursuant to 37 CFR 1.114. Applicant's submission filed on December 19, 2008 has been entered.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

The following is a quotation of the first paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall contain a written description of the invention, and of the manner and process of making and using it, in such full, clear, concise, and exact terms as to enable any person skilled in the art to which it pertains, or with which it is most nearly connected, to make and use the same and shall set forth the best mode contemplated by the inventor of carrying out his invention.

Claims 1-28 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, first paragraph, because the specification, while being enabling for treating certain disorders associated with columnar epithelial inflammation, does not reasonably provide enablement for treating all conditions associated with columnar epithelial inflammation. The specification does not enable any person skilled in the art to which it pertains, or with which it is most nearly connected, to use the invention commensurate in scope with these claims. The factors to be considered whether a disclosure meets the enablement requirements of 35 U.S.C. 112, first paragraph, have been described in In re Wands, 8 USPQ2d 1400 (Fed. Cir.1988). Among these factors are:

1) The nature of the invention:

The claims are drawn to a method of treating columnar epithelial inflammation using a lipoxin A4 compound Application/Control Number: 10/762,388 Page 3

Art Unit: 1612

2) The state of the prior art:

The prior art does not recognize that treatment of all inflammatory conditions of columnar epithelium are accomplished easily. The state of the art does not also recognize that one group of compounds are capable of treating all inflammatory disorders associated with columnar epithelium. According to Lance, Current Medical Diagnosis and Treatment, 43rd Edition the treatment of ulcer, Crohn's disease and bacterial entercolitis is done by different active ingredients.

3) The relative skill of those in the art:

The relative skill of those in the art is high.

4) The predictability or unpredictability of the art:

The unpredictability of pharmaceutical and chemical art is high.

5) The breath of the claims:

The claims are very broad and encompass a method of treating all conditions associated with columnar epithelium inflammation with lipoxin A4.

6) The amount of direction or guidance provided:

Applicant's specification provides guidance for and it is only enabled for the treatment of certain inflammatory disorders of epithelium of different parts of the body. Applicant's specification does not set forth a representative number of examples to demonstrate that lipoxin A4 is capable of treating a representative number of disorders associated with inflammation of columnar epithelium.

7) The presence or absence of working examples;

Application/Control Number: 10/762,388 Page 4

Art Unit: 1612

The examples in applicant's specification are not drawn to the treating an inflammatory condition using a lipoxin A4 compound.

8) The quantity of experimentation necessary;

Since compound structure and activity for such pharmaceutical use must be determined from case to case by painstaking experimental study, one of ordinary skill in the art would be burdened with undue experimentation to determine all inflammatory disorders which can be treated by a lipoxin A4 compound.

The following is a quotation of the first paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall contain a written description of the invention, and of the manner and process of making and using it, in such full, clear, concise, and exact terms as to enable any person skilled in the art to which it pertains, or with which it is most nearly connected, to make and use the same and shall set forth the best mode contemplated by the inventor of carrying out his invention.

Claims 15-27 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, first paragraph, as failing to comply with the written description requirement. The claim(s) contains subject matter which was not described in the specification in such a way as to reasonably convey to one skilled in the relevant art that the inventor(s), at the time the application was filed, had possession of the claimed invention. The claims are drawn to the "wherein the lipoxin compound is an analog of natural lipoxin A4, and wherein the analog of natural lipoxin A4 has metabolic transformation region different from the natural lipoxin A4". The specification discloses examples of certain compounds, which are the analog of natural Lipoxin A4. However, the claims in their broadest reasonable interpretation read on a pharmaceutical composition comprising any analog of natural lipoxin A4. To provide adequate written description and evidence of possession of the claimed genus, the specification must provide sufficient distinguishing identifying characteristics of the

Application/Control Number: 10/762,388

Art Unit: 1612

genus. The factors to be considered include disclosure of complete or partial structure, physical and /or chemical properties, functional characteristics, structure/function correlation, or any combination thereof. While the specification describes a few species of the instantly claimed active compounds, it does not describe a sufficient number of analogs as to convey possession of the entire genera encompassed by the instant claims.

Applicant's arguments and remarks have been carefully considered, but are not deemed to be persuasive. Applicant in his remarks refers to sections of the specification in order to show support for the phrase of "analog of natural lipoxin A4". Applicant is reminded that the documents disclosed in the specification are not drawn to natural analog of lipoxin A4. For example the compounds disclosed in the US Patent 5, 576,758 are analogs of lipoxin-B. Although, the instant specification provides support for certain analogs of lipoxin-B and a few analogs of lipoxin-A4, but there is no adequate written description for the phrase "natural analogs of lipoxin-A4".

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to ZOHREH A. FAY whose telephone number is (571)272-0573. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday to Friday 9:30-6:00.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Fredrick Krass can be reached on (571) 272-0580. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Application/Control Number: 10/762,388 Page 6

Art Unit: 1612

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

ZF /Zohreh A Fay/ Primary Examiner, Art Unit 1612